Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/901,507	WU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin Y. Kim	2634
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) <u>Kevin Y. Kim</u> .	(3)	
(2) Andrew Bateman.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5-17-2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative) o	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		•
Claims discussed:		
19,31,37,43,49,55,61		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE	GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner's amendment to the above-identifed claims ha		TWAO DIOCOCCES.
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequirectly resulted in the allowance of the applicat of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sequinal content of the interview is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequinal content in the interview is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequinal content in the interview is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequinal content in the interview is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequinal content in the interview is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequinal content in the interview in the allowance of the applicant of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> </ul>	ion. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
1/ 1/5		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A)	oplicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)
( )	, Thursday	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·